

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/517,448

Applicant(s)

PEDERSEN ET AL.

Examiner

JEFFREY NICKERSON

Art Unit

2442

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY NICKERSON

(3) _____

(2) Charles Leves (61317)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: All

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Leves requested an entry of an examiner's amendment to incorporate the previously indicated allowable subject matter into the independent claims. Examiner Nickerson presented the attached proposed Examiner Amendment providing change to the title and claims. Attorney Leves authorized entry of the amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Report of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(Andrew Caldwell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442